

1  
2  
3 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
4 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

5 \* \* \*

6 Roger Sauln,

7 Plaintiff,

8 v.

9 PODS Enterprises, LLC,

10 Defendant.

Case No. 2:22-cv-00497-JCM-DJA

11 **Order**

12 Plaintiff's counsel—Trevor Hatfield, Esq. of the law firm Hatfield & Associates, Ltd.—  
13 has moved to withdraw his representation of Plaintiff Roger Sauln, explaining that counsel  
14 believes he has a conflict with Plaintiff regarding attorneys' fees. (ECF No. 32). Currently,  
15 discovery is closed in this action and Defendant's summary judgment motion has been fully  
16 briefed. Plaintiff has not responded to the motion to withdraw.

17 Under Local Rule ("LR") IA 11-6(b), "[i]f an attorney seeks to withdraw after appearing  
18 in a case, the attorney must file a motion or stipulation and serve it on the affected client and  
19 opposing counsel." LR IA 11-6(b). Under Local Rule 7-2(d) the failure of a party to oppose a  
20 motion constitutes that party's consent to the granting of the motion. The Court finds that  
21 Plaintiff's counsel has met the requirements of LR IA 11-6(b). The Court further finds that, given  
22 the procedural posture of the case, the withdrawal will not result in a delay of the proceedings.  
23 Plaintiff has also not responded, constituting his consent to the granting of the motion.

24  
25 **IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED** that Trevor Hatfield, Esq.'s motion to withdraw (ECF  
26 No. 32) is **granted**. The Clerk of Court is kindly directed to remove Trevor Hatfield, Esq. as  
27 counsel of record and from the electronic service list for this case.  
28

Roger Sauln  
1263 Ginger Lane  
Carson City, NV 89701  
rk52095@yahoo.com

DATED: August 28, 2023

Page 2 of 2